

## REMARKS

### INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11 and 13 have been amended. Claims 2, 4-6, 8, 10, 12 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11 and 13 are pending and under consideration.

### CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claims 1-9 and 11-13 were rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated Chaiken et al. (US 6,223,283) (hereinafter "Chaiken").

#### Claims 1-3

Amended claim 1 recites: "...updating the storing the display information with an error EDID when the transmitted display information of the input video signal is not suitable for the EDID." Support for this amendment may be found in at least original claims 10 and 14. It is respectfully submitted that this technical feature of claim 1 is not discussed in Chaiken. In Chaiken, the ID product code stored in the block 20 corresponds to a monitor that is compatible with the monitor 12 so that if the information matches information stored within the table of the system BIOS, as set forth in the decision block 42, then the monitor 12 is compatible with a type of monitor specifically supported by the processing unit 18. Accordingly, the processing unit 18 may use the parameters of the compatible monitor to configure itself for operation with the previously unsupported monitor 12, as set forth in the block 44, but Chaiken does not discuss updating the storing the display information with an error EDID when the transmitted display information of the input video signal is not suitable for the EDID.

Claim 2 has been cancelled. Claim 3 depends on claim 1 and is therefore believed to be allowable for at least the foregoing reasons.

Withdrawal of the foregoing rejections is requested.

#### Claims 4-6

Claims 4-6 have been cancelled.

#### Claims 7-9 and 11-13

Independent claims 7 and 11 have been amended to include the allowable subject of claims 10 and 14, respectively, and are therefore now believed to be in a condition for

allowance. Claims 8 and 12 have been cancelled. Claims 9 and 13 depend on one of claims 7 and 11 and are therefore believed to be allowable for at least the foregoing reasons.

Withdrawal of the foregoing rejections is requested.

#### ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

The Applicant acknowledges with appreciation that claims 10 and 14 have been found to contain allowable subject matter. Claims 10 and 14 have been cancelled.

#### CONCLUSION

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: October 17, 2007

By: Gregory W. Harper  
Gregory W. Harper  
Registration No. 55,248

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501